TRANSMITTAL OF RESPONSES TO AGENCY COMMENTS ON THE REVISEL DRAWINGS AND TECHNICAL SPECIFICATIONS FOR THE SOUTH FIELD EXTRACTION SYSTEM

06/18/96

DOE-1009-96 DOE-FN EPAS 6 RESPONSES



Department of Energy

Ohio Field Office Fernald Area Office

P. O. Box 538705 Cincinnati, Ohio 45253-8705 (513) 648-3155

155 JUN 1 8 1996



Mr. James A. Saric, Remedial Project Director U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Region V - SRF-5J 77 West Jackson Boulevard Chicago, Illinois 60604-3590

Mr. Tom Schneider, Project Manager Ohio Environmental Protection Agency 401 East 5th Street Dayton, Ohio 45402-2911

Dear Mr. Saric and Mr. Schneider:

TRANSMITTAL OF RESPONSES TO AGENCY COMMENTS ON THE REVISED DRAWINGS AND TECHNICAL SPECIFICATIONS FOR THE SOUTH FIELD EXTRACTION SYSTEM

Reference: Le

Letter, T.A. Schneider (OEPA) to J.W. Reising (DOE-FN), "Conditional Approval of the Revised Drawings and Technical Specifications - South Field

Extraction System," dated May 10, 1996.

This letter transmits the U.S. Department of Energy's (U.S. DOE) responses to the Ohio Environmental Protection Agency (OEPA) comments on the subject document. An Errata Sheet will be enclosed with the procurement package outlining the necessary changes while final drawings will be developed after construction is completed to reflect the "As-Built" drawings.

If you have any questions concerning this transmittal, please contact Robert Janke at (513) 648-3124, or John Kappa at (513) 648-3149.

Sincerely,

~Johnny W. Reising

Fernald Remedial Action

Project Manager

FN:R.J. Janke

Enclosures: As Stated



cc w/encs:

- R. L. Nace, EM-423/GTN
- G. Jablonowski, USEPA-V, 5HRE-8J
- J. Saric, U.S. EPA-V, SRF-5J

Manager, TPSS/DERR, OEPA-Columbus

- T. Schneider, OEPA-Dayton (3 copies of encs.)
- F. Bell, ATSDR
- D. S. Ward, GeoTrans
- R. Vandegrift, ODOH
- S. McLellan, PRC
- T. Hagen, FERMCO/65-2
- J. Harmon, FERMCO/90
- J. D. Jewett, FERMCO/52-5

AR Coordinator/78

cc w/o encs:

C. Little, FERMCO/2

RESPONSES TO OEPA COMMENTS ON THE REVISED DRAWINGS AND TECHNICAL SPECIFICATIONS FOR THE SOUTH FIELD EXTRACTION SYSTEM

Commenting Organization: OEPA Commentor: GeoTrans, Inc. (DAG)

Section #: 13401 - 2.3 B General Pg. #: 11 of 13 Line #: Code:c

Original Comment #1

Comment: This section should include a description of the FIC-031 flow controller. The

controller features should include damping to eliminate oscillations in the control

loop.

Response: Individual controllers were not used in the SFES design. All SFES control

functions are accomplished through the existing AWWT Distributed Control System (DCS). Proportional-integral-derivative functions are internal to the DCS

software. See Section 13401, Paragraphs 1.5.B and 2.3.B&D.

Action: No action required.

Commenting Organization: OEPA Commentor: GeoTrans, Inc. (DAG)

Section #: 13401 - 2.3 B 2, Sheet #N0002 General Pg. #: 10 of 13 Line #: Code:c

Original Comment #2

Comment: If the pump flow (pump PMP-18) goes to zero, water from the force main will

close the check valve V166. Since the pressure indicator (PIT031) is beyond the check valve, it will indicate the pressure in the force main. The low pressure alarm may not shut off the pump in this situation, endangering the pump. This may be corrected by moving the check valve beyond the pressure

location.

Response: When pump PMP-18 receives a zero flow signal, a pressure in the force main

will be seen at PIT-031 due to remaining water between V166 and PIT-031.

The purpose of the low pressure alarm is to indicate loss of line integrity

indicator (PITO31). This arrangement should be corrected at every pump

between the pump and the pressure indicator under operating flow conditions. If flow is measured at FIT-031 and a low pressure alarm

condition exists, the pump motor would be reduced to zero speed. The PITs

only control the pumps by providing a zero speed signal when either a high or

low pressure condition occurs. Should the PIT sense a low line pressure after

securing the pump, this condition will not endanger the pump operation or

integrity.

Action: No action required.

RESPONSES TO OEPA COMMENTS ON THE REVISED DRAWINGS AND TECHNICAL SPECIFICATIONS FOR THE SOUTH FIELD EXTRACTION SYSTEM (CONTINUED)

Commenting Organization: OEPA Commentor: GeoTrans, Inc. (DAG)

Section #: 13401 - 2.3 B General Pg. #: 11 of 13 Line #: Code:c

Original Comment #3

Comment: The letter "B" is used twice in Section 2.3.

Response: Agree.

Action: Will change.

Commenting Organization: OEPA Commentor: GeoTrans, Inc.

Drawing 95X-5900-G-00221 Sheet #: G-0017 Line#: Detail 11 Code: DAG

Original Comment #4

Comment: There are no references to Detail 11 G00221 on sheets G00252, G00253,

and G00269 as stated at the detail.

Response: Detail 15 references Detail 11 by name.

Action: Will eliminate drawing numbers on Detail 11 and will add references in Pipe

Detail 15 above (pipes schedule).

Commenting Organization: OEPA Commentor: GeoTrans, Inc. (DAG)

Drawing 95X-5900-G-00221 Sheet #: G-0017 Line #: Detail 16 Code:c

Original Comment #5

Comment: There are no references to Detail 16 G00221 on sheets G00252, G00253,

and G00269 as stated at the detail.

Response: Detail 15 references Detail 16 by name.

Action: Will eliminate drawing numbers on Detail 16 and will add references in Pipe

Detail 15 above (pipes schedule).

Commenting Organization: OEPA Commentor: GeoTrans, Inc. (DAG)

Drawing 95X-5900-G-00219 Sheet #: G-0015 Line #: Detail 12 Code:c

Original Comment #6

Comment: The Tie-In Detail will require a modification of the Typical 2 Pipe Bedding

Detail found on Sheet G0017. The required trench and pipe bedding will need

to be deeper to accommodate the vertically staggered pipes.

Response: Agree.

Action: Will add a note to Sheet G0017 that lines vertically stagger at tie-in points.

Field to adjust trench conditions to accommodate.

RESPONSES TO OEPA COMMENTS ON THE REVISED DRAWINGS AND TECHNICAL SPECIFICATIONS FOR THE SOUTH FIELD EXTRACTION SYSTEM (CONTINUED)

Commenting Organization: OEPA

Commentor: GeoTrans, Inc. (JB)

Drawing 95X-5900-G-00202 Sheet #: G-0019

Line #:

Code:c

Original Comment #7

Comment:

DWG G-00219 (Sheet No. G0015), Tie-in Detail 4 should have reference of

DWG G-00265 (Sheet No. G0007) noted with it. No reference is mentioned.

Response:

Detail 14 is a "typical" detail that is used throughout the plans. As such,

there is no need to list reference drawing numbers.

Action:

No action required.

Commenting Organization: OEPA

Commentor: GeoTrans, Inc. (JB)

Drawing 95X-5900-G-00202 Pg. #: DWG G-00219

Line #:

Code:c

Original Comment #8

Comment:

DWG G-00219 (Sheet No. G0015), Tie-in Detail 12 should have reference of

DWG G-00265 (Sheet No. G0007) noted with it. No reference is mentioned.

Response:

Detail 12 is a "typical" detail. There is no need to list reference drawing

numbers.

Action:

No action required.

Commenting Organization: OEPA

Commentor: GeoTrans, Inc.

Drawing 95X-5900-G-00202

Sheet:

Line #:

Code: JB

Original Comment #9

Comment:

DWG A-00224 (Sheet No. A0001), air flow within the pump house seems

excessive in comparison to the valve house (14,800 CFM compared to 1,400

CFM).

Response:

Air flow within the pump house is higher to allow dissipation of the heat load

placed on the pump houses by the extraction pump motors. The valve house does not have this extra heat load, therefore, it can have a lower air flow

rate.

Action:

No action required.

Commenting Organization: OEPA

Commentor: GeoTrans, Inc. (JB)

Drawing 95X-5900-X-00202 Sheet: P-00255

Line #:

Code:c

Original Comment #10

RESPONSES TO OEPA COMMENTS ON THE REVISED DRAWINGS AND TECHNICAL SPECIFICATIONS FOR THE SOUTH FIELD EXTRACTION SYSTEM (CONTINUED)

DWG P-00255 (Sheet No. G0008), post indicator valve STA 0+06 has Comment:

incorrect reference number 6-G00220. The correct reference number should

be 6-G00219.

Response:

Agree

Action:

Plan G-00251 (Sheet G002) correctly references. Will eliminate double

reference on this profile.

Commenting Organization: OEPA

Commentor: GeoTrans, Inc.

Drawing 95X-5900-G-00202 Sheet: DWG G-00220

Line #:

Code:JB

Original Comment #11

Comment:

Drawing G-00220 (Sheet No. G0016), the note on HDPE Flange Adapter

Detail has the word "ductile" spelled incorrectly as "dutile".

Response:

Agree.

Action:

Will change.